UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,328	06/01/2006	Georg Muhlthaler	WUE-60	3182
Thomas J Burge	7590 03/12/201 er	EXAMINER		
Wood Herron &	z Evans	GREEN, RICHARD R		
2700 Carew To 441 Vine Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cincinnati, OH	45202-2917	3644		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/581,328	MUHLTHALER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard R. Green	3644		

	Richard R. Green	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth it ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	in which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11 See Continuation Sheet.) 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	6 and 41.33(a)).		PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Tien Dinh/	/R. R. G./		
Primary Examiner, AU 3644	Examiner, Art Unit 3644		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims, if amended as proposed, would change limitations in claims 28 and 32, cancel claim 25 and add new claims 33 and 34, while changing claim 27 to an independent claim, including most of the text of claim 19. Proposed independent claim 27 would not incorporate the limitations of claim 19 regarding the regulator valve (¶ 6) or regulation device (¶ 7), and would thus be broader in scope in one aspect, and would include a new limitation defining the cold storage unit (also incorporated into proposed claim 28). Proposed new claims 33 and 34 present limitations describing steps of storing or releasing heat conveyance medum in the cold storage unit which have not been presented in the claims prior to the previous final action and are new issues. The variation in scope of previous limitations as well as the new limitations would require further consideration of the art.